

Natural Attenuation of Contaminated Soil and Groundwater at Agricultural Chemical Incident Sites

Guidance Document 20

The MDA is committed to considering proposals for natural attenuation of agricultural chemicals at suitable incident sites. The following guidance document outlines MDA's approach for natural attenuation of contaminated soil and groundwater at agricultural chemical incident sites in Minnesota.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) defines monitored natural attenuation as (OSWER Directive 9200.4-17, 1997):

The term "monitored natural attenuation," as used in this Directive, refers to the reliance on natural attenuation processes (within the context of a carefully controlled and monitored clean-up approach) to achieve site-specific remedial objectives within a time frame that is reasonable compared to other methods. The "natural attenuation processes" that are at work in such a remediation approach include a variety of physical, chemical, or biological processes that, under favorable conditions, act without human intervention to reduce the mass, toxicity, mobility, volume, or concentration of contaminants in soil and ground water. These in-situ processes include biodegradation, dispersion, dilution, sorption, volatilization, and chemical or biological stabilization, transformation, or destruction of contaminants.

Attenuation of pesticides and fertilizers can occur naturally in the environment, however, the process may be limited by local environmental conditions, high concentrations of the pesticides and/or fertilizers and mixtures of different chemicals. While much is known about the attenuation of individual pesticides at label application rates in agricultural field use settings, there is limited information available on the attenuation of individual agricultural chemicals or mixtures of agricultural chemicals above label rates in non-field settings.

The conditions suitable for natural attenuation, and the items that should be included in a proposal for natural attenuation of soil or water containing agricultural chemicals, are outlined below. All approvals for natural attenuation will be made on a site specific basis.

NATURAL ATTENUATION OF AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS IN SOIL

A. Criteria for Evaluating When Natural Attenuation May Be Appropriate

A proposal for natural attenuation may be submitted to MDA staff if human health, surface water, groundwater and the environment will not be significantly impacted by leaving the contaminated soil in place, and if natural attenuation can achieve the site cleanup goals within a reasonable timeframe. A proposal for natural attenuation must evaluate each of the following (the Contamination Impacts Survey completed during the Remedial Investigation will include much of the information that is described below):

Threat to Human Health

The proposal shall determine if contaminant concentrations in the soil exceed the human health based soil cleanup goals. In general, natural attenuation will not be allowed if contaminant levels in soil are above the applicable human health cleanup levels and access to the site is unrestricted. Natural attenuation may be allowed where the contaminant concentrations exceed human health based goals if access to the site is restricted. One method of restricting access to a site is the installation of a fence at least six feet in height which is locked when the site is not occupied. Other methods of restricting access to the contaminated soil may also be appropriate.

Threat to Surface Water

The proposal shall determine if a surface water body is present near the site and the potential for contaminated runoff from the site to reach this surface water body. In general, natural attenuation will not be allowed if contaminants are likely to migrate by runoff or erosion to surface water bodies at levels that may exceed applicable surface water standards.

In addition, the proposal shall determine the potential for contaminants from the site to migrate with groundwater to adjacent surface water bodies at levels which will exceed applicable surface water standards for the water body. Natural attenuation of contaminated soil will not be allowed if contaminants from soil are likely to leach downward to groundwater and then migrate with groundwater flow to surface water bodies at levels which will exceed the applicable surface water or sediment standards.

Threat to Groundwater

The proposal shall determine if the contaminants will leach to groundwater before degradation of the contaminants occurs. In general, natural attenuation is inappropriate in instances where contaminants are likely to migrate downward at levels which will exceed the groundwater goals for the site. Groundwater goals are established by MDA staff.

Threat to Other Potential Receptors

The proposal shall determine if there will be an impact to wildlife, vegetation or domesticated animals or if there will be migration to off-site locations via tile lines, utilities, and storm or drainage systems if the contaminants are left in place.

Timeframe and Cost Effectiveness of Natural Attenuation Approach

The proposal shall provide documentation that natural attenuation of the contaminants will achieve the site cleanup goals within a reasonable timeframe and in a cost effective manner when compared with other corrective actions. Since the use of natural attenuation requires that site cleanup goals be achieved within a reasonable timeframe, it may be necessary to use natural attenuation in conjunction with active remediation measures (for example, source control) or as a follow-up to active remediation measures which have already been implemented.

In addition, since natural attenuation requires extensive site characterization and long term monitoring, the costs of implementing natural attenuation shall be fully evaluated and compared with the costs of active remediation strategies which meet the site cleanup goals in a shorter timeframe.

B. Site Characterization Requirements

The suitability of a site for natural attenuation of agricultural chemicals should be based on the following site characteristics (Sims et al, 1993; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 1993; Cookson, 1995).

All of this information may not be required for every site, especially when contaminant levels barely exceed cleanup goals. Additional or alternative methods that could be used to characterize a pesticide contaminated site for natural attenuation may also be proposed.

Available Soil Water

The water content of unsaturated soil varies over time and with precipitation events. Adequate water content is required to sustain microbial activity in soil at agricultural chemical incident sites. The water holding capacity is defined as the change in water content in a unit volume of soil per unit change in matric potential (Hillel, 1982, p. 221). The optimum water holding capacity for promoting microbial activity is 25-85% of -0.01 M Pa water content (Sims et al, 1993; U.S. EPA 1993). Methods for determining the available soil water can be found in Klute, 1986.

Oxygen

The presence of oxygen is required in the pore spaces of soil to act as an electron acceptor for aerobic biodegradation of contaminants. The minimum air-filled pore space (see Hillel, 1982, pg. 11 and 16) of the contaminated soil should be 10% for aerobic degradation (Sims et al, 1993; U.S. EPA 1993). Methods for determining the air-filled pore space can be found in Klute, 1986. Soil samples for the air-filled pore space measurements should be collected by thin-walled (Shelby Tube) sampling methods (ASTM D1587).

Hydraulic Conductivity

The soil must have adequate hydraulic conductivity to allow the movement of moisture, nutrients and gases through the soil system. Ideally, the hydraulic conductivity of an unsaturated zone soil should be greater than 1.00E-04 cm/sec (Cookson, 1995).

Measurements of hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soil should be conducted with either constant head or falling head permeameters, whichever is appropriate for the soil type (see Klute, 1986 and American Society of Testing Materials (ASTM) methods). Soil samples for the hydraulic conductivity measurements should be collected by thin-walled (Shelby Tube) sampling methods (ASTM D1587).

Soil pH

The pH of a soil is an indicator of the activity of the hydrogen ion in the soil. The soil pH should be in the range of 5-9 to promote optimal biodegradation (Sims et al, 1993; U.S. EPA 1993; Cookson, 1995).

There are several methods for determining soil pH (Sparks et al, 1996; U.S. EPA SW-846, Method 9045).

Redox Potential

In aerobic degradation, energy is obtained from the oxidation of reduced materials. The redox potential of a soil is a measure of the electron density of the soil system. As oxygen becomes depleted in aerobic degradation, other substances are used as terminal electron acceptors and this results in a progressive increase in electron density or a negative potential.

For aerobic degradation, the soil should have a positive Eh value of at least 50 millivolts (mV). Optimum aerobic degradation is achieved at Eh values greater than 400 mV (Sims et al, 1993; U.S. EPA 1993; Cookson, 1995). There are several methods for determining the redox potential of soil (Weaver et al, 1994).

Availability of Nutrients

Carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) are essential nutrients for microbial energy and growth. At agricultural chemical incident sites, nitrogen and phosphorus may be present in abundant amounts as a result of the release of fertilizers. The presence of high amounts of nitrogen and phosphorus may aid or inhibit microbial growth, depending on the concentrations of these nutrients and the degradation processes occurring at the site.

The suggested C:N:P ratio is 100:10:1, although this ratio may need to be modified based on the concentrations of contaminants present and the conditions of the site (Sims et al, 1993; U.S. EPA 1993). Total organic carbon (TOC) should be analyzed in soil samples using either EPA SW-846 Method 9060 or EPA 415.1. Ammonia nitrogen should be analyzed using EPA 350.1, nitrate nitrogen should be analyzed using EPA 353.2, and nitrite nitrogen should be analyzed using EPA 353.2. Available phosphorus should be analyzed using Olsen phosphorus method for soils with a pH >7.2 and the Bray P-1 method for soils with a pH <7.2 (North Central Regional Publication No. 221, 1988).

Enumeration Studies

Microbial enumeration studies or plate counts should be conducted on site soils. Plate counts may be used to quantify the number of bacteria able to grow on a specific set of nutrients and substrates immobilized in a solid medium (National Research Council, 1993). Since laboratory conditions may be more favorable than field conditions for biodegradation, these studies may be more useful as qualitative measures of degradation potential.

Total heterotrophic plate count (TPC) analyses are reported as a number of colony-forming units (CFUs) per gram of soil or per milliliter of water. Agricultural soil may have plate counts between 1.00E9 to 1.00E12 CFU/g while a bulk soil with little root mass may have plate counts closer to 1.00E7 CFU/g. Petroleum contaminated soils have been found to have plate counts in the range of 1.00E4 to 1.00E6 CFU/g (Hemming and Milke, 1996). Soil with CFUs below 1.00E3 CFU/g may indicate generally toxic conditions for soil microbes (Cookson, 1995) or a lack of appropriate substrates, nutrients, oxygen and so forth.

Representative soil samples should be collected for the microbial enumeration studies from the most highly contaminated soils and from uncontaminated soil so that bacterial counts in both contaminated and uncontaminated settings can be compared. Soil samples for microbial enumeration studies must be collected aseptically to avoid introducing nonindigenous bacteria into the samples. Sterilized soil sampling equipment should be used. Typically soil samples from split spoons or Shelby tubes are obtained and the outer few centimeters as well as the top and bottom of these core samples are removed with an alcohol-sterilized spatula. Only the center portion of the core is used in the enumeration study. In addition, soil samples should be cooled to 4 degrees centigrade but should not be frozen (Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 1994).

Methods for conducting total heterotrophic plate counts can be found in Weaver et al (1994).

C. Monitoring Requirements

A monitoring plan is generally required for natural attenuation sites. The monitoring plan shall include monitoring procedures which will confirm that: 1) passive biodegradation is occurring; 2) contaminant concentrations are decreasing over time, and 3) contaminant decreases are due to attenuation and not migration of the contaminants. At a minimum, the contaminant concentrations shall be

monitored periodically to establish that concentrations are decreasing over time. In addition, uncontaminated soil at depths of approximately 1-3 feet below the contaminated soil shall be monitored to assure that contaminants are not leaching downward. Groundwater monitoring below the contaminated soil may also be appropriate.

We recommend that soil monitoring be conducted at a minimum of twice a year. Soil monitoring will generally be required until contaminant levels reach site cleanup goals.

The monitoring plan shall include a description of the sampling locations, sampling methods, a list of analytes appropriate for the site, including degradation products, if possible, and analytical methods.

NATURAL ATTENUATION OF AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS IN GROUNDWATER

A. Criteria for Evaluating When Natural Attenuation May Be Appropriate

Natural attenuation may be appropriate for contaminated groundwater if there will not be an adverse impact on human health, surface water or other potential receptors and if natural attenuation of the contaminated groundwater can achieve the site cleanup goals within a reasonable timeframe. These requirements will typically require that natural attenuation be used in conjunction with active remediation of the source of the groundwater contamination. Any proposals for natural attenuation of contaminants in groundwater must evaluate each of the following:

Threat to Humans from Contaminated Groundwater

The proposal shall determine if the groundwater below the site is currently used for a water supply. The proposal shall determine the likelihood that contaminated groundwater will migrate to: 1) currently used aquifers; 2) aquifers that are not currently used but could be used in the future, and 3) other formations which are connected to useable aquifers.

In general, natural attenuation of contaminants in groundwater is inappropriate in instances where it is likely that groundwater will become contaminated above groundwater cleanup goals or in instances where the groundwater contaminant plume is not stable and is continuing to migrate downgradient or migrate downward vertically.

Threat to Surface Water

The proposal shall determine if a surface water body is present near the site and the potential for contaminated groundwater to reach this surface water body. In general, natural attenuation of contaminated groundwater is inappropriate if the contaminant plume is likely to migrate to the surface water body and cause contamination of the surface water body or sediment above applicable standards.

Threat to Other Potential Receptors

The proposal shall determine the potential impact to wildlife, vegetation or other sensitive receptors if the contaminants are left to passively degrade in groundwater. In addition, the proposal shall determine if the contaminants will migrate to off-site locations via tile lines, utilities, and storm or drainage systems.

Timeframe and Cost Effectiveness of Natural Attenuation Approach

The proposal shall provide documentation that natural attenuation of the contaminants will achieve the site cleanup goals within a reasonable timeframe and in a cost effective manner when compared with other corrective actions. Since the use of natural attenuation requires that site cleanup goals be achieved within a reasonable timeframe, it may be necessary to use natural attenuation in conjunction with active remediation measures (for example, control of the source of the groundwater contamination) or as a follow-up to active remediation measures which have already been implemented.

In addition, since natural attenuation requires extensive site characterization and long term monitoring, the costs of implementing natural attenuation shall be fully evaluated and compared with the costs of active remediation strategies which meet the site cleanup goals in a shorter timeframe.

B. Site Characterization and Monitoring Requirements

A great deal of information concerning the natural attenuation of petroleum contaminants in groundwater has been published recently (see reference list). Very little information exists and even less has been published regarding the natural attenuation of agricultural chemicals in groundwater. As a result, the MDA suggests that proposals for site characterization and monitoring of passive

degradation of agricultural chemicals in groundwater be based on the *Technical Protocol for Evaluating Natural Attenuation of Chlorinated Solvents in Ground Water* (EPA, 1998), as well as other appropriate references.

REFERENCES

- Aga, D.S., E.M. Thurman, M.E. Yockel, L.R. Zimmerman and T.D. Williams. 1996. Identification of a New Sulfonic Acid Metabolite of Metolachlor in Soil. *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 32, pp. 592-597.
- Borden, R.C., C.A. Gomez, M.T. Becker. 1995. Geochemical indicators of intrinsic bioremediation. *Ground Water*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 180-189.
- Buscheck, T. E., and C.M. Alcantar. 1995. Regression Techniques and Analytical Solutions to Demonstrate Intrinsic Bioremediation. In *Intrinsic Bioremediation*, Hinchee, R.E., J.T. Wilson, and D.C. Downey, Eds. Batelle Press, Columbus, Ohio. pp. 109-116.
- Chapelle, F.H. and P.M. Bradley. 1998. Selecting Remediation Goals by Assessing the Natural Attenuation Capacity of Groundwater Systems. *Bioremediation Journal*, vol. 2, pp. 227-238.
- Cookson, J.R., Jr. *Bioremediation engineering-Design and application*. 1995. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, NY. 524 pp.
- Hemming, B.C. and J.K. Milke. 1996. Working with the microbiological laboratory-Insight into biofeasibility test procedures and bacteriological methods. *Remediation Management*. Fourth quarter, pp. 38-47.
- Hillel, D. 1982. *Introduction to soil physics*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA. 364 pp.
- Intrinsic bioremediation-Strategies for effective analysis, monitoring and implementation*. October 16-17, 1995. Seminar Proceedings. Sponsored by International Business Communications and supported by the American Petroleum Institute.
- Kalkhoff, S.J., D.W. Kolpin, E.M. Thurman, I. Ferrer and D. Barcelo. 1998. Degradation of Chloroacetanilide Herbicides: The Prevalence of Sulfonic and Oxanilic Acid Metabolites in Iowa Groundwaters and Surface Waters. *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 32, pp. 1738-1740.
- Klute, A., Ed. 1986. *Methods of soil analysis: Part 1-Physical and mineralogical methods*. Soil Science Society of America Book Series Number 5. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI. 1188 pp.
- Kolpin, D.W., E.M. Thurman and D.A. Goolsby. 1996. Occurrence of Selected Pesticides and Their Metabolites in Near-Surface Aquifers of the Midwestern United States. *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 30, pp. 335-340.
- Kolpin, D.W., E.M. Thurman, S.M. Linhart. 1998. The Environmental Occurrence of Herbicides: The Importance of Degradates in Ground Water. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, pp. 1-7.
- McAllister, P.M. and C.Y. Chiang. 1994. A practical approach to evaluating natural attenuation of contaminants in ground water. *Ground Water Monitoring and Remediation*, vol. 14, pp. 161-173.
- Meyer, M.T. and E.M. Thurman. 1996. *Herbicide Metabolites in Surface Water and Groundwater*. ACS Symposium Series 630. American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C. 318 pp.
- Minnesota Department of Agriculture. 1993. Review and evaluation of degradation and bioremediation of elevated levels of pesticides at spill sites. State of Minnesota Legislative Commission on Minnesota Resources Final Status Report. 166 pp.
- Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Tanks and Emergency Response Section. 1996. Assessment of natural biodegradation at petroleum release sites. Fact Sheet #3.21, 11 pp.
- National Research Council. 1993. *In situ bioremediation-When does it work?* National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. 207 pp.
- North Central Regional Publication No. 221. 1988. *Recommended Chemical Soil Test Procedures for the North Central Region*. North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University.

- Salanitro, J.P. 1993. The role of bioattenuation in the management of aromatic hydrocarbon plumes in aquifers. *Ground Water Monitoring and Review*, vol. 13, pp. 150-161.
- Sims, J.L., R.C. Sims, R.R. Dupont, J.E. Matthews and H.H. Russell. 1993. In situ bioremediation of contaminated unsaturated subsurface soils. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Engineering Issue, EPA/540/S-93/ 501. 17 pp.
- Sparks, D.L. et al, Eds. 1996. *Methods of soil analysis: Part 3-Chemical methods*. Soil Science Society of America Book Series Number 5. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI. 1358 pp.
- Thurman, E.M., D.A. Goolsby, D.S. Aga, M.L. Pommers and M.T. Meyer. 1996. Occurrence of Atrachlor and Its Sulfonated Metabolite in Rivers and Reservoirs of the Midwestern United States: The Importance of Sulfonation in the Transport of Chloroacetanilide Herbicides. *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 30, pp. 569-574.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1993. Considerations in deciding to treat contaminated unsaturated soils in situ. *Engineering Forum Issue*, EPA/540/S-94/500. 26 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1997. Use of Monitored Natural Attenuation at Superfund, RCRA Corrective Action, and Underground Storage Tank Sites. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response Directive 9200.4-17.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1998. Technical Protocol for Evaluating Natural Attenuation of Chlorinated Solvents in Ground Water. EPA/600/R-98/128. 248 pp.
- Vroblesky, D.A. and F.H. Chapelle. 1994. Temporal and spatial changes of terminal electron-accepting processes in a petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated aquifer and the significance for contaminant biodegradation. *Water Resources Research*, vol. 30, pp. 1561-1570.
- Weaver, R.W. et al, Eds. 1994. *Methods of soil analysis: Part 2-Microbiological and biochemical properties*. Soil Science Society of America Book Series Number 5. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI. 1121 pp.
- Wiedemeier, T.H., J.T. Wilson, D.H. Kampbell, R.N. Miller, and J.E. Hansen. 1996. Overview of the technical protocol for natural attenuation of chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons in ground water under development for the U.S. Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Natural Attenuation of Chlorinated Organics in Ground Water*, Dallas, TX, September 11-13, 1996. EPA/540/R-97/504. pp. 37-61.
- Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Emergency and Remedial Response Program. 1994. Naturally occurring biodegradation as a remedial action option for soil contamination. *Interim Guidance (Revised) PUBL-SW-515-95*. 16 pp.